

INSTITUTIONAL PERFORMANCE RATING FOR 1998-99

Institution: University of South Carolina, Columbia

Sector: Research Institutions

Overall Institutional Performance

EXCEEDS STANDARDS

Institutions scoring within the same performance category are considered to be performing similarly.

Performance Scale = Substantially Exceeds, 2.85-3.00; Exceeds, 2.60-2.84; Achieves, 2.00-2.59; Does Not Achieve, 1.45-1.99; Substantially Does Not Achieve, 1.00-1.44. (See Note 1 below for information on deriving the overall score.)

Approved by the South Carolina Commission on Higher Education, May 6, 1999

For additional information see www.che400.state.sc.us or call 803.737.2260

PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES <i>Critical Success Factor followed by related Performance Indicators. Please note that the applicability of individual indicators varies by sector and institution. For indicator definitions, contact the Commission.</i>	Approved Benchmark <i>Institution's Individual Performance Goal Plus or Minus 1% of the Goal</i>	Sector Benchmark <i>Common Goal for Institutions in the Same Sector</i>	Institution's Performance	Performance Indicator Scores <i>Verbal & Numeric Scores: Exceeds institutional goal or at or above sector goal =3; Achieves institutional goal =2; Does not achieve institutional goal =1.</i>
I. Mission Focus				
1A Expenditures to Achieve Mission (Research)	13.4% to 13.6%	None	16.0%	Exceeds 3.00
1B Curricula	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds 3.00
1C Mission Statement	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
1D Adoption of a Strategic Plan	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
1E Attainment of Strategic Plan Goals	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
II. Quality of Faculty				
2A Academic and Other Faculty Credentials	Avg. of subpart scores			Achieves 2.00
1 Faculty Meeting SACS Requirements	99.0% to 101.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Exceeds 3
2 Teaching Faculty Exceeding SACS	55% to 57%	None	52%	Does Not Achieve 1
2B Performance Review for Faculty	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds 3.00
2C Faculty Post-Tenure Review	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds 3.00
2D Faculty Compensation	\$57,384 to \$58,544	\$63,274	\$59,611	Exceeds 3.00
2E Availability of Faculty Outside of Class	Avg. of subpart scores			Exceeds 3.00
1 % faculty rated satisfied on availability	Scale: 90-100%=3, 80-89%=2, below 80%=1		95%	Exceeds 3
2 % students satisfied with advisor availability	Scale: 90-100%=3, 80-89%=2, below 80%=1		96%	Exceeds 3
2F Faculty Community & Service Activities	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
III. Instructional Quality				
3A Class Size & Student/Teacher Ratios	Avg. of subpart scores			N/A 2.50
1a Lower Division Class Size	29.7 to 30.3	30-33	31.9	Exceeds 3
1b Upper Division Class Size	24.8 to 25.3	25-27	29.6	Does Not Achieve 1
2 FTE Students per FTE Teaching Faculty	13.9 to 14.1	14-16	14.3	Exceeds 3
3B Credit Hours Taught by Full-time Faculty	224 to 228	None	198	Does Not Achieve 1.00
3C Full-time Faculty to Full-time Employees	32.7% to 33.3%	29.6%	32.5%	Exceeds 3.00
3D Accreditation of Degree Granting Programs	94% to 96%	100%	95%	Achieves 2.00
3E Emphasis on Quality Teacher Education	Avg. of subpart scores			N/A 2.50
1 NCATE Accreditation	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
2a % Students Passing NTE -Prof. Knowledge	95.0% to 97.0%	None	98.8%	Exceeds 3
2b % Students Passing NTE -Specialty Area	86.0% to 87.8%	None	85.9%	Does Not Achieve 1
3a % of Graduates in Critical Shortage Areas	24% to 24%	None	32%	Exceeds 3
3b % Minority Teacher Education Graduates	13% to 13%	None	14%	Exceeds 3
IV. Institutional Cooperation and Collaboration				
4A Sharing & Use of Technology, etc.,	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
4B Collaboration with Private Industry	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
V. Administrative Efficiency				
5A Administrative & Academic Costs	Avg. of subpart scores			Exceeds 3.00
1 Percentage of Administrative Costs	7.1% to 7.3%	7.6%	6.9%	Exceeds 3.00
2 Percentage of Academic Costs	71.6% to 73.0%	80.0%	73.2%	Exceeds 3.00
5B Use of Best Management Practices	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds 3.00
5C Elimination of Waste & Duplication	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
5D General Overhead Costs Per FTE Student	\$1,263 to \$1,289	\$2,631	\$1,265	Exceeds 3.00
VI. Entrance Requirements				
6A SAT Scores of Student Body	68.2% to 69.6%	75.0%	72.4%	Exceeds 3.00
6B Entering Freshmen High School Credentials	72.2% to 73.6%	None	84.5%	Exceeds 3.00
6C Non-Academic Achievements of Students	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
6D In-State Student Enrollment	86.1% to 87.9%	70.0%	87.6%	Exceeds 3.00
VII. Graduates' Achievements				
7A Graduation Rate (GRS, 150% of program time)	55.5% to 56.7%	None	55.7%	Achieves 2.00
7B Employment Rate for Graduates	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
7C Employer Feedback on Graduates	Compliance	YES	YES	N/A no score
7D % Passing Professional Exams	89.1% to 90.9%	None	91.6%	Exceeds 3.00
7E Graduates Continuing Education in SC	13% to 13%	None	14%	Exceeds 3.00
7F Credit Hours Earned of Graduates	109% to 111%	110%	109%	Exceeds 3.00
VIII. User-Friendliness of Institution				
8A Credit Transfer to and from Institution	99% to 101%	100%	100%	Exceeds 3.00
8B Continuing Education Units	34,700 to 35,500	None	45,200	Exceeds 3.00
8C Accessibility of Institution to SC Citizens	Avg. of subpart scores			N/A 2.50
1 Percentage of Other Race Students	17.8% to 18.2%	26.9%	19.4%	Exceeds 3.00
2 Other /Non-Other Race Retention Ratio	96.6% to 98.6%	None	98.5%	Achieves 2.00
Other Race Retention	(not applicable)		83.5%	N/A N/A
Non-Other Race Retention	(not applicable)		84.8%	N/A N/A
IX. Research Funding				
9A Grants for Teacher Education	46.5% to 47.5%	105.0%	134.5%	Exceeds 3.00
9B Public and Private Sector Grants	101.3% to 103.3%	105.0%	118.5%	Exceeds 3.00

SUMMARY: Category of overall institution performance is EXCEEDS. (See note 2.) The number of applicable indicators varies by sector and institution. For this institution, 27 indicators are included in the overall score. The institution's overall numeric score that determines the performance category is 2.76 or 92% of 3, expressing the overall numeric score as a percent of the total possible. (See note 1.)

NOTE 1: The overall numeric score is calculated by averaging the scores for each indicator (i.e., scores in the final column, not shaded and right aligned). For indicators with multiple subparts, the subpart scores (i.e., scores in the last column, shaded and left aligned) are averaged to derive a score for the indicator. The percent shown is derived by dividing the overall numeric score by three

NOTE 2: Institutions scoring within the same category are considered to be performing similarly under SC's performance system